

310CV1471(MRK)
{∞U>2|c|<U∞}

As with creation, the law is the same in that although there is only one truth, mankind or the appointed judges form many different versions of what is only one truth. In the law, a Writ of Mandamus, unlike the interpretation of discretionary measures is unambiguous, and not duplicity, nor is it convoluted. In the current matter before the court it is simple or easy to determine when the opinions of public officials stray from the law. That which requires them not to deviate is the word “shall“, only if you are Caucasian seeking benefits or Nubian involving jail time. It is easy or simple to discern that the method of inequality, bigotry and biasness is present as in the current case before the court. The example of Michael Cuzzolino is the format to be followed.

The form executed in that matter is the same form presented to the defendant state by the plaintiff in 1995, eliminating what is considered error. Therefore, we can determine directly from this that the Republican conservatism employed by Governor Rowland and adopted by the Homosexual Community of Public Officials to assist in their agenda is inequality and racism, as African descendants have been the victims of this very process since the inception of the various constitutions established in this country.

This indignation practiced by these European lawyers and members of the judiciary extends throughout the legal system. From attorney governor, Chief justices of the court s in Connecticut and lawyer officials and the deceased. It is not difficult to gather the coaxing present in the documents. It was a legal clerk that explained exactly the difference between individual and official capacity and the ramifications of each to a certain degree. The former presiding judge attempted to use the “individual” capacity avenue as a means of sabotaging the plaintiffs’ position as that measure could only benefit the defendant in allowing them to place stops and holds on the intellectual properties of the plaintiff. { Legal scholars and forums should study this case if any. learn something }

Moreover, it would have undermined the request for a Writ of Mandamus as it is not possible to request such a Writ or order one to perform such in their individual capacity making the request on its face duplicity. Given that the amendment did not include such, we see that still, the court system will not grant an African Descendent such rights over that of a European descendant in this society. Therefore, it is possible to conclude that the Roman system of governance through the enslavement of the African community is still present. The legal system therefore as it relates to justice for the African descendant is fictitious, and only has standing where it sentences the African community to prison or take away their property rights. { See 6/25 and 9/11/12 Filings Capitis Diminutio Maxima }

It is still my personal belief that had the Judge representing the United States had endeavored to dispense justice and not republican conservatism, which is a racist platform of governance for the elite, he would not have perished. Note, I did not say expire, in using the perish term, it is meant as condemned throughout eternity as the equation or formula provides. The storm that came on October 29, 2011 created a myriad of casualties, most yet to reveal themselves. Similarly, they will be of the same variety, an act of creation of which is not believed by those who continue to dispense injustice.

Why are these SEBAC/public officials not being investigated? Why are they still in office?